

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,026	08/01/2003	Jeffrey B. Lovett	00171CIP	4736
26285	7590 08/10/2005		EXAM	INER
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
535 SMITHFIELD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1774	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		/			
066: 4-4: 0	10/633,026	LOVETT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Camie S. Thompson	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Electron	ion filed 5/18/05.						
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33,66-76 and 117-156 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 66-76 and 154-156 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16,18-26 and 28-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17,27,33 and 117-153 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. to.						
Application Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction access and the correction is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The control of the correction is control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 13. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 14. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 15. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 16. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 17. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 18. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the correction is objected to by the Examiner 19. **The control of the corr	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08). Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/22/03, 6/13/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/633,026 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-16, 18-21, 24-26 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 60-21559.

The Japanese reference discloses fibers for reinforcing cement mortar or concrete wherein the fibers that form yarns with a size of 200-5000 denier as per instant claims 1, 18 and 28 (see reference claim 1). Additionally, the reference discloses that the fibers are twisted into a non-interconnected bundle at 50-700 turns per meter (see reference claim 1). Also, the reference discloses that the reinforcing fibers can be polyolefin fibers such as polyethylene fibers and polypropylene fibers (see reference claim 2 and page 8, last paragraph). Table 2 of the Japanese reference discloses that the fibers can be 44-59 mm in length.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1774

4. Claims 1, 6-8, 18 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 60-21559.

The Japanese reference discloses fibers for reinforcing cement mortar or concrete wherein the fibers that form yarns with a size of 200-5000 denier as per instant claims 1, 18 and 28 (see reference claim 1). Additionally, the reference discloses that the fibers are twisted into a noninterconnected bundle at 50-700 turns per meter (see reference claim 1). Also, the reference discloses that the reinforcing fibers can be polyolefin fibers such as polyethylene fibers and polypropylene fibers (see reference claim 2 and page 8, last paragraph). The reference does not specifically disclose that the strands of monofilaments consist of a copolymer of polyethylene and polypropylene. The reference does discloses the use of polyolefin fibers such as polyethylene and polypropylene and any synthetic fibers that meet the physical properties required to be mixed into a cementitious matrix. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have strands of monofilaments consisting of a copolymer of polyethylene and polypropylene since the copolymer meet the physical requirements for blending into a cementitious mass and are encompassed by the generic polyolefin fibers. The amount of polyethylene and polypropylene in the copolymer affect the strength of the fibers. However, this is an optimizable feature. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art in re-Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Therefore, it would have been obvious to have the copolymer comprise 75-80 percent by weight polypropylene and about 20-25 percent by weight polyethylene in order to have a polyolefinic strands with great strength for reinforcement.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,026

Art Unit: 1774

5. Claims 17, 27, 33 and 117-153 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not provide for the recited fiber reinforcement for cementitious material, further including the cementitious material is asphalt and the strands are twisted to form a non-intereconnected bundle in the absence of a wetting agent. Also, the prior art does not provide for the recited fiber reinforcement for a reinforced cementitious material, further comprising a plurality of strands of monofilaments that form a first fiber component and a second fiber component that is discrete from the first fiber component and is fibrillated and formed of a homopolymer material.

Page 4

Claims 66-76 and 154-156 are allowed. The prior art does not provide for a reinforced cementtitious material, comprising:

a synthetic fiber blend distributed through a matrix of the cementititous material, the synthetic fiber blend, including:

a first fiber component formed of a homopolymer polypropylene fiber; and a second fiber component being discrete from the first fiber component and being a copolymer formed of a polypropylene and a high density polyethylene, the second fiber component being a plurality of monofilaments twisted to form a non-interconnected bundle, the degree of twist being gfreater than about 0.9 turns/inc (about 0.36 turns/cm).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If

Application/Control Number: 10/633,026 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RENA DYE SU IDEBNISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATERITE AMERICA

4. U. 1774